Interview Summary

Application No. **09/649,243** 

Applicant(s)

Lumpkin et al.

Examiner

Schwartz

Group Art Unit 3613

| 1111 | 111 | 1151 | П | I   | T  | П     | В |
|------|-----|------|---|-----|----|-------|---|
| 1111 |     |      |   |     |    |       |   |
| ш    | ш   | Ш    | ш | Ш   | Ш  | Ш     | ш |
| 1111 | Ш   |      | ш | Ш   | Н. | Ш     | Ш |
|      |     | 1121 |   | 183 | ш  | 11 11 |   |

| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):   |             |  |  |  |
|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| (1) Schwartz (3)   | (3)         |  |  |  |
| (2) Tom Bratschun (4)  |             |  |  |  |
| Date of Interview Oct 25, 2001   |             |  |  |  |
| Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:  |             |  |  |  |
| Exhibit shown of demonstration conducted. Of the restriction of the re |             |  |  |  |
|  | <del></del> |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1-21   |             |  |  |  |
| Identification of prior art discussed:  Gajek et al.   |             |  |  |  |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached.  | ed, or      |  |  |  |
| any other comments:  Mr. Bratschun pointed out how the claims defined over the prior art reference to Gajek et al. Namely the adjusting  |             |  |  |  |
| device 22 of Gajek et al. moves axially (see column 8) and the pads are fixed to the housing 1.  |             |  |  |  |
|  |             |  |  |  |
|  |             |  |  |  |
|  |             |  |  |  |
|  |             |  |  |  |
|  |             |  |  |  |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable, a summary thereof must be attached.)   | wable is    |  |  |  |
| i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is che   |             |  |  |  |
| Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUSINCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached   | s<br>HE     |  |  |  |
|  |             |  |  |  |
|  |             |  |  |  |
| Examiner.Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.   |             |  |  |  |